Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/002,631	GRAFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David A. Lambertson	1636
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>David A. Lambertson</u> .	(3)	
(2) Rochelle Seide.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 February 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	VAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The examiner will provide a w</li> <li>rate record of the substance of t</li> </ul>	ritten summary of the substance the interview
(5		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A voice message was left with Applicant's representative regarding the status of the case. The message indicated that no reply was filed in response to an Office Action, and that the case was abandoned. Applicant's representative provided no further communication that a response was filed in the instant application.